

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/814,054	Applicant(s) LEVINE, ALFRED B.	
	Examiner Steven S. Paik	Art Unit 2876	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Steven S. Paik.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Alfred B. Levine (applicant).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 November 2004

Time: 12:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

51-68

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Levine (applicant and appellant) acknowledged the identified informalities contained in the Appeal Brief filed August 6, 2003. He requested and authorized to correct the informalities by the Examiner's Amendment. In an attempt to assist an application filed by an applicant, the examiner has rewritten the Appeal Brief and faxed to him. The applicant understands that he needs to resubmit the Appeal Brief with an appropriate fee. Please see the attached clean version of the Proposed Appeal Brief with corrections.